## Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

| _  |                         |                     |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|
| In the matters of  | )                       |                     |
| Petition of Embarq Local Operating Companies for Forbearance Under 47 U.S.C. §160(c) From Application of Computer Inquiry and Certain Title II Common Carriage Requirements  | , W<br>)<br>)<br>)<br>) | C Docket No. 06-147 |
| Petition of the Frontier and Citizens ILECs for Forbearance Under Section 47 U.S.C. §160(c) From Title II and <i>Computer Inquiry</i> Rules With Respect to <i>Computer Inquiry</i> Rules With Respect to Their Broadband Services | )<br>)<br>es )          |                     |
| Respect to Their Broadband Services  | )                       |                     |

## COMMENTS OF MONTANASKY.NET

Ivan C. Evilsizer 2301 Colonial Drive, Suite 2B Helena, MT 59601-4875 Telephone: (406) 442-7115 Facsimile: (406) 442-2317 evilsizer2@aol.com

Attorney for MontanaSky.Net

MontanaSky.Net, by its counsel, respectfully submits the following comments in the above captioned proceeding:

MontanaSky.Net is an internet and telecommunications provider, that specializes in the provision of high speed internet and data services to business and residential customers in northwestern Montana. Many of MontanaSky.Net's service areas include sparsely populated rural areas, in which there is very limited telecommunications and internet competition.

MontanaSky.Net is opposed to the Petition filed by Frontier/Citizens in this matter. MontanaSky.Net does not believe it is appropriate to grant Frontier/Citizens any further regulatory flexibility for its broadband services.

Since the issuance of the FCC's DSL Order in September, 2005,
MontanaSky.Net and Citizens have engaged in discussions to negotiate a contract
for wholesale DSL services, as contemplated by the FCC's Order (FCC 05-150, Sept.
23, 2005). Although this Order allows detariffing of broadband services offered by
telecommunications providers, it also contemplates that wholesale contracts would
continue:

we expect that facilities-based wireline carriers will have business reasons to continue making broadband Internet access transmission services available to ISPs without regard to the Computer Inquiry requirements. . . . [¶64]

Incumbent LECs have represented that they not only intend to make broadband Internet access transmission offerings available to unaffiliated ISPs in a manner that meets ISPs' needs, but that they have business incentives to do so. [¶74]

We strongly encourage the parties to work together to develop individual contracts that are mutually beneficial to each party. [¶75] FCC Order 05-150, ¶¶64, 74 and 75.

The Order contemplated that incumbent LECs would enter into such contracts during the one year transition period provided in the Order. (Order, ¶99).

Despite these provisions in the FCC Order, MontanaSky.Net has not been able to obtain a contract with Citizens, after months of negotiations. In January of this year, a "tentative" oral agreement was reached, but Citizens has failed to follow through with its oral representations or provide MontanaSky.Net with any written contract. We are now fast approaching the end of the one year transition period established by the Order, and it does not appear that Citizens will voluntarily enter into any written agreement for the provision of wholesale DSL.

Furthermore, contrary to the assertions in the Citizens/Frontier petition, "robust" broadband competition does <u>not</u> exist throughout the nation. In many sparsely populated rural areas, such as those areas served by Citizens and MontanaSky.net in northwestern Montana, the incumbent ILEC may be the only broadband service provider, or the only high quality broadband service provider. Under these circumstances, wholesale resale of the incumbent's broadband DSL is critical to the existence of any viable competition.

Based on the above, MontanaSky.Net requests that the Petition by Citizens/Frontier either be deferred or rejected at this time, until and unless satisfactory contractual arrangements are finalized.

DATED: September 13, 2006

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Ivan C. Evilsizer

Ivan C. Evilsizer Attorney for MontanaSky.Net

Evilsizer Law Office 2301 Colonial Drive, Suite 2B Helena, MT 59601-4995 Telephone: 406-442-7115

Fax: 406-442-2317

Email: evilsizer2@aol.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that, on the 13th day of September, 2006, I have caused

the foregoing Comments of MontanaSky. Net to be 1) filed via ECFS with the Office

of the Secretary of the FCC; 2) served via email on Ms. Janice Myles, Federal

Communications Commission, Wireline Competition Bureau, Competition Policy

Division at janice.myles@fcc.gov; and 3) served via email on the FCC's duplicating

contractor Best Copy and Printing, Inc. at fcc@bcpiweb.com.

Dated September 13, 2006

/s/ Ivan C. Evilsizer

Ivan C. Evilsizer

Attorney for MontanaSky.Net

**Evilsizer Law Office** 

2301 Colonial Drive, Suite 2B

Helena, MT 59601-4995

Telephone: 406-442-7115

Fax: 406-442-2317

Email: evilsizer2@aol.com